

Water Quality provides an understanding of how changes in land use practices within the catchment are affecting the health of our rivers and estuaries. Ecohealth measures oxygen level, salinity, acidity, murkiness (turbidity) and nutrients in our waterways.

Riparian vegetation is important for maintaining good water quality, stabilising riverbanks and providing habitat for animals including macroinvertebrates and fish. Ecohealth looks at the occurrence of weeds, structure of riparian vegetation, habitat (e.g. fallen logs) and current management (e.g. fencing).

Geomorphic condition assesses bank condition (e.g. slope, bank failure, exposed tree roots and undercutting), bed condition (e.g. active erosion and smothering of the bed substrate by high loads of fine sediment), and trampling by stock.

Macroinvertebrates are waterbugs such as worms, beetles, mayflies and shrimps that are sensitive to changes in aquatic habitat, pollution and poor water quality. Ecohealth looks at the types of waterbugs occurring at different freshwater sites in our rivers. Waterbugs are not assessed in estuaries.

Scientists and natural resource managers use the health of particular components of an ecosystem to indicate if there are stresses to the habitat as a whole. The Ecohealth team has ensured that the selection of indicators used in the Ecohealth program have been subject to a scientific review process.

Ecohealth indicators

Ecohealth does not attempt to report on human environmental health issues in the rivers such as drinking water quality, if it's safe for swimming, heavy metal contamination, disease, bacteria, viruses or our ability to harvest shellfish or fish.

This information enables natural resource managers to determine where our rivers are under stress and where to invest in environmental management activities. It also helps Councils and State Government agencies meet local and state monitoring, evaluation and reporting requirements.

Ecohealth looks at key environmental indicators including water quality, riparian (riverbank) vegetation, geomorphic (channel) condition and macroinvertebrates (waterbugs), and reports on their condition.

Ecohealth is an aquatic ecosystem monitoring program that measures how healthy our rivers and estuaries are for the plants and animals that live in them.

About Ecohealth



Ecohealth.
A health check for our waterways



Richmond Catchment REPORT CARD 2014

The diagram to the right shows the Ecohealth grading system, where a grade is given for water quality, riparian condition, geomorphic condition and aquatic macroinvertebrates. Based on the average of these grades, an overall grade is awarded to the site. Overall grades are then also awarded for each river system, subcatchment, and for all freshwater and estuarine sites.

Interpreting the results

Condition Score	Grade	Result
91-100	A	Excellent
76-90	B	Good
61-75	C	Fair
46-60	D	Poor
0-45	F	Very Poor

Information about each of the indicators was collected from 48 sites across the Richmond catchment over the course of 12 months. These were used to calculate scores for each indicator at each site, based on how often the measured values satisfied regional and national guidelines for healthy rivers. The condition scores were then given a corresponding grade (see below).

This scoring and grading system is based on the traditional format of a school report card, with ratings ranging from a high of 'A', through intermediate ratings of 'B', 'C' and 'D', to the lowest possible score of an 'F'. Secondary grades within a + and - are included to provide greater resolution within a grade, and to help show improvements over time.

Ecohealth scoring and grading

Further information

To access the 2014 Richmond Ecohealth Technical Report and other information about the results of this report card, go to www.rrcc.nsw.gov.au/environmental-management/water-quality-monitoring/

For more information about the Ecohealth program go to www.aerlab.com.au and click on Coastal Projects.

To see the improvements happening in your local area, go to the environment section on your local council's website.

Project partners



Riparian condition scores were poor throughout all regions of the Richmond River catchment, with 10 of the 17 river systems recording a grade of D or lower. The main stressors to riparian condition are from invasive weeds, disturbances from floodplain clearing and agriculture, and access from livestock. Strongly linked to riparian condition was the state of the riverbanks with severe bank slumping, high bank slopes and exposed tree roots in many of the streams in the Richmond catchment.

Macroinvertebrate scores were low throughout the catchment with 10 of the 17 river systems receiving a grade of D or lower. The number of macroinvertebrate families found ranged from a very low 5 in the lower Terania and Bungawalbin Creeks to a very high 30 families recorded in the upper Terania and Iron Pot Creeks. The poor macroinvertebrate grades reflect poor water quality and habitat conditions, particularly the erosion of river channels and smothering of habitat with fine sediment.

Concentrations of all nutrients exceeded guideline values consistently across all sites leading to very low scores, with 9 waterways receiving an F grade. Low dissolved oxygen concentrations, low pH and high chlorophyll (algal biomass) and nutrient concentrations were a feature of estuarine reaches, and recorded levels that would affect the health and distribution of aquatic animals. The clear pattern of deteriorating water quality with distance downstream highlights the need to improve riparian and bank condition throughout the catchment as a management priority.

A Total of 48 sites in 17 waterways were used to calculate an overall condition of D+ for the Richmond catchment (see overview). This grade is consistent throughout the Richmond catchment with 12 of the 17 waterways recording a grade of D or lower. The upper freshwater reaches had better water quality, aquatic macroinvertebrates and geomorphic condition than the lower freshwater reaches, but no better riparian condition. The upper estuary (upstream of Woodburn) was consistently in the poorest condition, with very high nutrient concentrations, turbidity and algal biomass. Scores were consistent among indicators within each waterway, highlighting that water quality and physical habitat are driving the condition of streams.

What we found

What action is happening?

In partnership with local landholders, state agencies and funding bodies, the local councils of the Richmond catchment are working to ensure that information on catchment condition is readily available and restoration works are targeted to key sites and issues.

Richmond Valley Council, Richmond River County Council, Kyogle Council, Lismore City Council and Ballina Shire Council all work together to manage the natural resources for local communities and industries. Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and National Parks and Wildlife Service manage many of the conservation areas in the catchment, working closely with the Department of Primary Industries (Fisheries) to manage native fish habitat and communities. OEH provides technical and financial assistance to local councils through the NSW Estuary Program to improve the health of NSW estuaries. North Coast Local Land Services supports the implementation of projects by councils and other agencies to achieve best practice natural resource management and sustainable agriculture in the Richmond catchment.

The management of natural resources on the Richmond coastal floodplain is a complex arrangement of programs, organisations and funding covering issues such as drainage, acid sulfate soils, floodgate management, water quality monitoring, and estuary and wetlands management.

The Richmond Estuary Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP) provides a blueprint for the long-term sustainable management of the estuary. A management focus on the estuary and floodplain has resulted in the formation of the Richmond River Coastal Zone Management Reference Group to coordinate natural resource management activities and projects on the floodplain in partnership with councils, state government and the community.



Example of Site Grades

Site Name	Average of all four grades for the Site	Water Quality grade	Riparian Condition grade	Geomorphic Condition grade	Macroinvertebrate grade
	B-	A-	B-	C+	C+

